

### **Rule 227.3\*(a)-(d) Payment for Transcript**

\*(a) The party requesting a transcript of the records or any portion thereof in a motion for post-trial relief shall pay the cost thereof. Where any other party files an objection requesting that an additional portion of the record be transcribed, the trial judge, in the absence of agreement by the parties, shall in his/her discretion and to the extent this matter is not covered in the Pennsylvania Rules of Judicial Administration 4001 et seq., assign the cost of such additional transcribing to any or all parties or to the County.

\*(b) The designation of the portion of the record to be transcribed required by Pennsylvania Rule of Civil Procedure 227.3 shall include the date the trial started and the courtroom where the trial was held. A copy of this designation shall be submitted contemporaneously with the filing of the motion for post-trial relief to the court reporter or reporters who took the notes in designation.

\*(c) The party requesting the transcribing of the record or any part thereof shall pay a deposit in accordance with Bucks County Rule of Judicial Administration 4007(C), and certify that the same has been paid upon the designation notice aforesaid. Failure to pay the transcript deposit and to so certify on the designation notice may be a basis for refusal of the motions or dismissal of the exceptions for lack of a transcript.

\*(d) The official court reporter shall transcribe and file the stenographic transcript of the trial and furnish copies thereof to the parties at the cost of the County only when ordered to do so specially in each particular case by the trial judge, either by direction dictated upon the stenographic record of the trial, by endorsement on the filed motion aforesaid or by separate written order. If the trial judge shall refuse to enter such order upon request, any party aggrieved thereby may request the President Judge to designate two other judges of this Court to constitute, with the trial judge, a Court en banc for the limited purpose of review of such refusal. The decision of the majority of the judges constituting such Court en banc shall be final on the question.